arXiv:math/0505558v2 [math.NT] 9 Nov 2007

# Mixed zeta functions and application to some lattice points problems.

D. Essouabri*

**Abstract:** *We consider zeta functions:* $Z(f;P;s)=\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n} f(m_1,\ldots,m_n)\ P(m_1,\ldots,m_n)^{-s/d}$ *where* $P\in\mathbb{R}[X_1,\ldots,X_n]$ *has degree* $d$ *and* $f$ *is a function arithmetic in origin, e.g. a multiplicative function. In this paper, I study the meromorphic continuation of such series beyond an a priori domain of absolute convergence when* $f$ *and* $P$ *satisfy properties one typically meets in applications. As a result, I prove an explicit asymptotic for a general class of lattice point problems subject to arithmetic constraints.*

**Mathematics Subject Classifications: 11M41, 11P21, 11N25, 11N37.**

**Key words: Zeta functions, meromorphic continuation, Newton polyhedron, multiplicative functions, Lattice points, representation of integers.**

## Contents

**1 Introduction** **2**

**2 Preliminaries** **4**

2.1 Notations . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
2.2 Preliminaries from convex analysis . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
2.3 Construction of the volume constant . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5
2.4 Some important constants: mixed exponents and volume . . . . . . . . . . 6

**3 Statements of Main Results** **7**

3.1 Functions of finite type: Definition and examples . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7
3.2 Results about mixed zeta functions . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7
3.3 General counting functions and lattice points problems . . . . . . . . . . . 9

---

*Université de Caen, UFR des Sciences, Campus 2, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme (CNRS UMR 6139), Bd. Maréchal Juin, B.P. 5186, 14032 Caen, France. Email : essoua@math.unicaen.fr

**4 Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 and their corollaries 12**

4.1 Fundamental lemmas and their proofs . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12

4.2 Proof of theorem 1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 20

4.3 Proof of corollary 2 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 23

4.4 Proof of corollary 3 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 23

# 1 Introduction

Let $f : \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function and $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ a polynomial of degree $d$. We define a mixed zeta function (associated to the pair $(f, P)$) as the series defined formally by:

$$s \mapsto Z(f; P; s) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{f(m_1, \dots, m_n)}{P(m_1, \dots, m_n)^{s/d}} \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}).$$

These generating functions are natural objects to study when it is of interest to understand the asymptotic density of $f$ (on average) restricted to the increasing family of sets $\{P(\mathbf{m})^{1/d} \leq t\}$ as $t \to \infty$. To do this, a classical method tells us that we must first find the domain of convergence $D$ of $Z(f; P; s)$ and then understand its behavior along the boundary of $D$.

In the classical case when $f$ is a polynomial (eventually twisted by additive characters), after work of many authors, the problem is now understood for a large class of $P$ (see [17], [13], [2], [20], [19], [11], [4]). Some results have also been obtained if $f$ is the characteristic function of a suitable open semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see [14], [5]).

However, for many standard arithmetic problems, the function $f$ is irregular; typically, though not always, it will be multiplicative. In such cases, no general methods to study $Z(f; P; s)$ are known since the works cited above need to begin with an integral representation for the series. This requires the function $f$ to have some reasonable expression as an algebraic or analytic function. If $f$ is multiplicative, then it can sometimes occur that methods that begin with an Euler product expression for the series (see for example [3] and [1]) can be used, but in such cases, $P$ must also be multiplicative, that is, a monomial.

The point of this article is that it gives a method to study the analytic properties for "mixed" zeta functions $Z(f; P; s)$, where $f$ can be an irregular function, and $P$ is any homogeneous polynomial with positive coefficients (which should suffice for typical arithmetical applications). We call the class of $f$ "functions of finite type" (see §3.1). Such functions are often encountered in a variety of arithmetic problems. For applications, it is quite useful to have as precise information as possible about a first pole of $Z(f; P; s)$. Our main result, Theorem 2 (§3.2), gives a criterion, which if satisfied, explicitly identifies the first pole as well as the leading term of the principal part of $Z(f; P; s)$ at that pole.

The applications that we present to illustrate this theorem are stated in §3.3, and proved in §4.3ff. They illustrate, in particular, the type of result that can be proved whenever the characteristic function $1_B$ of a subset of $\mathbb{N}^n$ is known (or can be shown) to be of finite type.

We give one simple example here that is a straightforward consequence of Corollary 1. Let $B = \{\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : \ \forall i \ m_i \text{ is square free } \}$.
When $n = 1$, it is a classical fact (see [21], Chap. I.3.7) that the number of squarefree integers in the interval $[1, t]$ is asymptotic to $(6/\pi^2)t$. Thus, $\# B \cap [1, t]^n$ is known to be asymptotic to $(6/\pi^2)^n t^n$. If we now change the enclosing region from a box to one that is curved, say $\{P^{1/d} \leq t\} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$, we would expect $\# B \cap \{P^{1/d} \leq t\}$ to grow at a rate $C_n(B, P)t^n$ , where the constant reflects both arithmetic and geometric properties of this set. Indeed, our result shows that *for any elliptic polynomial* $P$ *of degree* $d$, the two features are independent of one another in the following sense.
There exists $\theta > 0$ such that as $t \to +\infty : \# B \cap \{P^{1/d}(\mathbf{m}) \leq t\} = C_n(B, P) \ t^n + O\big(t^{n-\theta}\big)$,
where $C_n(B, P) := \big(\frac{6}{\pi^2}\big)^n \cdot \Big(\frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} P_d^{-n/d}(\mathbf{v}) \ d\sigma(\mathbf{v})\Big)$ ( $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is the unit sphere).
The factor in parentheses is geometric and first appeared in the lattice point problem studied by Mahler [13].

The starting point of our method is the classical and remarkable following Mellin's formula:

$$\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\big(\sum_{k=0}^r w_k\big)^s} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r - i\infty}^{\rho_r + i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s - z_1 - \cdots - z_r) \ \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(z_i) \ d\mathbf{z}}{w_0^{s - z_1 - \cdots - z_r} \ \big(\prod_{k=1}^r w_k^{z_k}\big)} \qquad (1)$$

valid if $\forall i = 0, \dots, r$, $\Re(w_i) > 0$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, r \ \rho_i > 0$ and $\Re(s) > \rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_r$.

This formula implies that for $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*n}$ and $\Re(s) \gg 1$,

$$Z(f; P; s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r - i\infty}^{\rho_r + i\infty} F(s; z_1, \dots, z_r) \ dz_1 \dots dz_r,$$

where $F$ is a meromorphic function in an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{r+1}$ (see §4.1 for more details).

In the classical case (i.e. $f$ is a polynomial possibly twisted by additive characters) Mellin's formula was used by many authors ([17], [2],[15],...). In this event, the function $F$ *has a meromorphic continuation to the whole space* $\mathbb{C}^{r+1}$. Applying induction on the number of monomials of $P$, one then concludes that $Z(f; P; s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$. This method gives, except for some very special cases, only a set of possible poles. So, one cannot yet use it to determine the dominant term in the principal part at the first pole.

If $f$ is of finite type, the function that plays the role of $F$ will *not have*, in general, a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}^{r+1}$. Indeed, for many multiplicative functions, this is known to be impossible! Consequently the method that works in the classical case cannot be immediately applied to study $Z(f; P; s)$ outside an a priori domain of absolute convergence, given, say, by $\Re s > c$. In particular, one can only expect to obtain a meromorphic continuation of $Z(f; P; s)$ to a half-plane of the form $\{\Re(s) > \eta\}$ along whose boundary there are essential singularities. Our method identifies a possible first pole $\sigma_0 \leq c$, in complete generality, from which an upper bound for the counting function $N(f; P; t) := \sum_{\{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n; \ P(\mathbf{m})^{1/d} \leq t\}} f(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ immediately follows.

The particular interest of Theorem 2 is its proof that the candidate first pole is a genuine pole of a precisely given order, provided that a certain analytic criterion is satisfied, from which, of course, follows the explicit dominant term for the asymptotic of $N(f; P; t)$. Verification of this criterion requires some additional information about the behavior of the multivariable

Dirichlet series $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s})$ (see §3.1) in small neighborhoods of certain points on the boundary of its domain of analyticity. Such information is quite similar to that which was needed in earlier work on multivariable Tauberian theorems by Lichtin [12] and de la Bretèche [3]. This criterion can be verified in specific cases, as our examples indicate.

The principal idea in the proof of Theorem 1 (see §4.2) is to associate in a natural way several ("mixed") invariants to $f$ and $P$. These combinatorial-geometric invariants allow a good control of the data within an induction argument, and, in particular, play a very important role in the proofs of the crucial lemmas 2 and 3 (see §4.1).

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Notations

1. $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $p$ always denotes a prime number;
2. The expression: $f(\lambda, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \ll_{\mathbf{y}} g(\mathbf{x})$ uniformly in $\mathbf{x} \in X$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ means there exists $A = A(\mathbf{y}) > 0$, such that, $\forall \mathbf{x} \in X$ and $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda \quad |f(\lambda, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})| \leq A g(\mathbf{x})$;
3. For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, .., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we set $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + .. + x_n^2}$ and $|\mathbf{x}| = |x_1| + .. + |x_n|$. We denote the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$. The standard inert product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $\langle ., . \rangle$. We set also $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$;
4. We denote a vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$ $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$, and write $\mathbf{s} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} + i\boldsymbol{\tau}$, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ are the real resp. imaginary components of $\mathbf{s}$ (i.e. $\sigma_i = \Re(s_i)$ and $\tau_i = \Im(s_i)$ for all $i$). We also write $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} \rangle$ for $\sum_i x_i s_i$ if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$;
5. Given $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we write $\mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ for the monomial $X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n}$. For an analytic function $h(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} a_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, the set $supp(h) := \{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid a_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \neq 0\}$ is called the support of $h$;
6. A function $f : \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be multiplicative if for all $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1', \dots, m_n' \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $gcd\left(lcm\left(m_i\right), lcm\left(m_i'\right)\right) = 1$ we have
$f\left(m_1 m_1', \dots, m_n m_n'\right) = f\left(m_1, \dots, m_n\right) . f\left(m_1', \dots, m_n'\right)$;
7. A polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of degree $d$ is said to be elliptic if its homogenuous part of highest degree $P_d$ satisfies: $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $P_d(\mathbf{x}) > 0$;
8. Let $F$ be a meromorphic function on a domain $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the support of its polar divisor. $F$ is said to be of moderate growth if there exists $a, b > 0$ such that $\forall \delta > 0$, $F(\mathbf{s}) \ll_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \delta} 1 + |\tau|^{a|\boldsymbol{\sigma}| + b}$ uniformly in $s = \boldsymbol{\sigma} + i\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{D}$ verifying $d(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{S}) \geq \delta$.

### 2.2 Preliminaries from convex analysis

For the reader's convenience, some classical notions from convex analysis that will be used throughout the article are assembled here.

Let $A = \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^q\}$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

1. The convex hull of $A$ is $conv(A) := \{\sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i \mid (\lambda_1, .., \lambda_q) \in \mathbb{R}_+^q$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i = 1\}$ and its interior is $conv^*(A) := \{\sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i \mid (\lambda_1, .., \lambda_q) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*q}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i = 1\}$;
2. The convex cone of $A$ is $con(A) := \{\sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i \mid (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q) \in \mathbb{R}_+^q\}$ and its (relative) interior is $con^*(A) := \{\sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i \mid (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*q}\}$.

Let $\Sigma$ be the set (or the boundary of the set) $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 1\ \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in I\}$ where $I$ is a finite (nonempty) subset of $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. $\Sigma$ is a convex polyhedron of $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

1. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we define $m(\mathbf{a}) := \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma} \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ and the face of $\Sigma$ with polar vector $\mathbf{a}$ (or the first meet locus of $\mathbf{a}$) as $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)(\mathbf{a}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma \mid \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = m(\mathbf{a})\}$;
2. The faces of $\Sigma$ are the sets $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)(\mathbf{a})$ ($\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$). A facet of $\Sigma$ is a face of maximal dimension;
3. Let $F$ be a face of $\Sigma$. The cone $pol(F) := \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \mid F = \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)(\mathbf{a})\}$ is called the polar cone associated to $F$ and its elements are called polar vectors of $F$.
4. We define the *index* of $\Sigma$ by $\iota(\Sigma) := \min\{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|;\ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \Sigma\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)(\mathbf{1}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma;\ |\mathbf{x}| = \iota(\Sigma)\}$.

### 2.3 Construction of the volume constant

**The Sargos constant ([19], chap 3, §1.3) :**
Let $P(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in supp(P)} a_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ be a generalized polynomial; i.e. $supp(P)$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ (and not necessary of $\mathbb{N}_0^n$). We suppose that $P$ has positive coefficients and that it depends on all the variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$. We denotes by $\mathcal{E}^\infty(P) := \big(conv(supp(P)) - \mathbb{R}_+^n\big)$ its Newton polyhedron at infinity. Let $G_0$ be the smallest face of $\mathcal{E}^\infty(P)$ which meets the diagonal $\Delta = \mathbb{R}_+ \mathbf{1}$. We denote by $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(P)$ the unique positive real number $t$ that satisfies $t^{-1}\mathbf{1} \in G_0$. We also set $\rho_0 = \rho_0(P) := codim G_0$.
By a permutation of coordinates one can suppose that $\oplus_{i=1}^{\rho_0} \mathbb{R}\mathbf{e}_i \oplus \overrightarrow{G_0} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and that $\{\mathbf{e}_i \mid G_0 = G_0 - \mathbb{R}_+ \mathbf{e}_i\} = \{\mathbf{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$.
Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N$ be the polar vectors of the facets of $\mathcal{E}^\infty(P)$ which meet $\Delta$. Set $P_{G_0}(X) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in G_0} a_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ and $\Lambda = Conv\{\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N, \mathbf{e}_{\rho_0+1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$.

**Definition 1.** *The Sargos constant associated to $P$ is:*
$A_0(P) := n!\ Vol(\Lambda)\ \int_{[1,+\infty[^{n-m}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-\rho_0}} P_{G_0}^{-\sigma_0}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\ d\mathbf{x} \right) d\mathbf{y} > 0.$

In ([19], chap 3), P. Sargos proved the following important result:
**Theorem ([19], chap 3, th. 1.6):** *Let $P$ be a generalized polynomial as above. We set $Y(P; s) := \int_{[1,+\infty[^n} P(\mathbf{x})^{-s}\ d\mathbf{x}$. The abscissa of convergence of $Y(P; s)$ is $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(P)$. Moreover $s \mapsto Y(P; s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$, $\sigma_0$ is indeed a pole of $Y(P; s)$ of order $\rho_0$ and $Y(P; s) \sim_{s \to \sigma_0} A_0(P)\ (s - \sigma_0)^{-\rho_0}$.*

If $P$ is elliptic the previous result can be sharpened as follows:

**Proposition 1** ([13]). *Let* $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ *be an elliptic polynomial of degree* $d \geq 1$. *Denote by* $P_d$ *its homogeneous part of greater degree. Then* $\sigma_0 = \frac{n}{d}$, $\rho_0 = 1$ *and the Sargos constant associated to* $P$ *is:* $A_0(P) = \dfrac{1}{d}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} P_d^{-n/d}(\mathbf{v})d\sigma(\mathbf{v})$ *where* $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ *is the unit sphere of* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *and* $d\sigma$ *is induced Lebesgue measure.*

**Construction of the volume constant:**
Let $I$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^r_+ \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathbf{u} = (u(\boldsymbol{\beta})_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I})$ a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1,\dots,b_r) \in \mathbb{R}^{*r}_+$. To this data, we associate the generalized polynomial $P_{(I;\mathbf{u};\mathbf{b})}$ with $q := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ variables, in the following way:
We define $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{\alpha}^q$ by: $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^i \mid i = 1,\dots,q\} = I$ and $\forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in I\ \#\{i \in \{1,..,q\} \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i = \boldsymbol{\beta}\} = u(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ (i.e. the family $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^i)$ is obtained by repeating each $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ $u(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ times).
We define the vectors $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{\gamma}^r$ of $\mathbb{R}^q_+$ by: $\forall i = 1,\dots,q$ and $\forall k = 1,\dots,r$, $\alpha^i_k = \gamma^k_i$.
We set finally $P_{(I;\mathbf{u};\mathbf{b})}(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^i}$.
We define the *volume constant associated to* $I$, $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{b}$ by $A_0(I;\mathbf{u};\mathbf{b}) := A_0\left(P_{(I;\mathbf{u};\mathbf{b})}\right) > 0$.

### 2.4 Some important constants: mixed exponents and volume

Let $P(\mathbf{X}) = b_1\mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1} + \cdots + b_r\mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^r} \in \mathbb{R}_+[X_1,\dots,X_n]$. We set $\mathbf{b} = (b_1,\dots,b_r) \in \mathbb{R}^{*r}_+$.
Let $\mathcal{T} = (I,\mathbf{u})$ where $I$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{u} = (u(\boldsymbol{\beta}))_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I}$ a vector of positive integers.

We associate to $\mathcal{T}$ and $P$ the following (mixed) objects which will play an important role in the sequel of this paper:

1. $n$ elements $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{\alpha}^n$ of $\mathbb{N}_0^r$ defined by: $\alpha^i_j = \gamma^j_i$ $\forall i = 1,\dots,n$ and $\forall j = 1,\dots,r$;
2. $\mu(\mathcal{T};P;\boldsymbol{\beta}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i\boldsymbol{\alpha}^i$ for all $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in I$ and $I_{\mathcal{T},P} = \{\mu(\mathcal{T};P;\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mid \boldsymbol{\beta} \in I\}$;
3. $\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{T},P} = (u_{\mathcal{T},P}(\boldsymbol{\eta}))_{\boldsymbol{\eta}\in I_{\mathcal{T},P}}$ where $u_{\mathcal{T},P}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \sum_{\{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I;\ \mu(\mathcal{T};P;\boldsymbol{\beta})=\boldsymbol{\eta}\}} u(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ $\forall \boldsymbol{\eta} \in I_{\mathcal{T};P}$;
4. $\rho_0(\mathcal{T}) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - rank\,(I) + 1$ and $\rho_0(\mathcal{T};P) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\eta}\in I_{\mathcal{T},P}} u_{\mathcal{T},P}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) - rank(I_{\mathcal{T},P}) + 1$.

We will also use the following easy to check **remark:**
$\rho_0(\mathcal{T};P) = \rho_0(\mathcal{T})$ *if rank* $(supp(P)) = n$. *In particular this is true if* $P$ *is elliptic.*

We define finally the *mixed volume* constant by:

$$A_0(\mathcal{T};P) = A_0(I_{\mathcal{T},P};\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{T},P};\mathbf{b})$$

where $A_0(I_{\mathcal{T},P};\mathbf{u}_{f,P};\mathbf{b}) > 0$ is the volume constant associated to $I_{\mathcal{T},P};\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{T},P}$ and $\mathbf{b}$.

## 3 Statements of Main Results

### 3.1 Functions of finite type: Definition and examples

Let $f : \mathbb{N}^n \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ be a function. We define formally $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{f(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}{m^{s_1}\ldots m_n^{s_n}}$. Typically, though not always, the series that are of interest in number theory are created by expanding out an Euler product in one or more variables, in which case the function $\mathbf{m} \to f(\mathbf{m})$ will be multiplicative. Several works (see for example [10], [18], [3], [1]...) then indicate that $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s})$ should satisfy the following general property:

**Definition 2.** *A function $f : \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be of finite type if there exists a finite subset $I$ of $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that if we set for all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$V(\delta) := \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \Re\mathbf{s}\rangle > \delta \ \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in I\} \cap \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \Re(s_i) > 0 \forall i = 1,\ldots,n\},$$

*then :*
*(i) $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s})$ converges absolutely in $V(1)$.*
*(ii) there exist $\delta < 1$ such that $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s})$ can be continued to the set $V(\delta)$ as a meromorphic function with moderate growth in $\Im s$ and polar divisor $\bigcup_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I}\{\langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{s}\rangle = 1\}$.*

We define $\Sigma_f = \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap \partial\left(\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{x}\rangle > 1 | \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in I\}\right) \subset \partial V(1)$.
For each $\mathbf{c} \in \Sigma_f \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{*n}$, we associate the pair $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}} := (I_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{u})$, where $I_{\mathbf{c}} := \{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in I \mid \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{c}\rangle = 1\}$ and $u = \left(u(\boldsymbol{\beta})\right)_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}}$ is a vector of positive integers defined by the following property: there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\mathbf{s} \mapsto H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{s}) := \big(\prod_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}} (\langle \mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\rangle)^{u(\boldsymbol{\beta})}\big)\ \mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{s})$ has a *holomorphic* continuation to the set $\{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sigma_i > -\varepsilon_0\ \forall i\}$, and does not vanish identically along $\langle \mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\rangle = 0$. Thus, $u(\beta)$ equals the order of the pole of $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{s})$ along $\{\langle \mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\rangle = 0\}$ at $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0}$.

We call the pair $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}}$ the *polar type* of $f$ at $\mathbf{c}$.

**Examples of functions of finite type:**

1. If $f$ is a monomial possibly twisted by additive characters then $f$ is clearly of finite type. We call this case the classical case;
2. Uniform multiplicative functions (see remark 5 §3.2), among which are characteristic functions of multiplicative sets;
3. The functions $f$ where the Dirichlet series $\mathcal{M}(f,s)$ belongs to the class of Dirichlet series with Euler product studied by N. Kurokawa [10] and B.Z. Moroz [18].

### 3.2 Results about mixed zeta functions

Let $P(X) \in \mathbb{R}_+[X_1,\ldots,X_n]$ be a homogeneous polynomial with positive coefficients which depend on all the variables $X_1,\ldots,X_n$. We denote by $d \geq 1$ its degree. Let $f$ be a function

of finite type (see definition 2.) Set $Z(f;P;s) := \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{f(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}{P(m_1,\ldots,m_n)^{s/d}}$.
Recall (see §2.2) that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_f)(\mathbf{1})$ denotes the face of $\Sigma_f$ whose polar vector is the diagonal vector $\mathbf{1}$. For simplicity, the index $\iota(\Sigma_f)$ is denoted $\iota(f)$ in the following.
We now define the mixed data as follows:

$$\Sigma_f(P) := \Sigma_f \cap con^*\left(supp(P)\right), \qquad \Sigma_f(P;\mathbf{1}) := \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_f)(\mathbf{1}) \cap con^*\left(supp(P)\right),$$

and the two indices

$$\iota(f;P) = \inf\{|\mathbf{c}| : \mathbf{c}\in\Sigma_f(P)\}, \qquad \rho(f;P) = min\{\rho_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}};P) : \mathbf{c}\in\Sigma_f(P) \text{ and } |\mathbf{c}| = \iota(f;P)\}.$$

The main results of this paper are the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that $\Sigma_f(P)\neq\emptyset$. Then $s\mapsto Z(f;P;s)$ is holomorphic in the half-plane $\{s:\sigma>\iota(f;P)\}$ and there exists $\eta>0$ such that $s\mapsto Z(f;P;s)$ has a meromorphic continuation with moderate growth to the half-plane $\{\sigma>\iota(f;P)-\eta\}$ with at most one pole at $s=\iota(f;P)$. If $\iota(f;P)$ is a pole, then its order is at most $\rho(f;P)$.*

**Remark.** In general, one should not expect $\Sigma_f(P;\mathbf{1})$ to be nonempty when $\Sigma_f(P)\neq\emptyset$. As noted in §2.2, the function $\mathbf{c}\in\Sigma_f\rightarrow|\mathbf{c}|$ assumes its minimal value $\iota(f)$ on the face $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_f)(\mathbf{1})$ of $\Sigma_f$. Thus, when $\Sigma_f(P;\mathbf{1})=\emptyset$, it follows that $\iota(f)<\iota(f;P)$. However, if $\Sigma_f(P;\mathbf{1})\neq\emptyset$, then $\iota(f)=\iota(f;P)$. In this case, Theorem 1 says that $Z(f;P;s)$ must always remain analytic up to, at least, the index of $\Sigma_f$. □

Although Theorem 1 is quite general, it is also not as explicit as one would like in practice. The following theorem gives, under some natural assumptions, a more explicit description of the domain of analyticity, as well as the principal part of $Z(f;P;s)$ at its first pole:

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that there exists $\mathbf{c}\in\Sigma_f(P;\mathbf{1})$ such that:*

1. $\mathbf{1}\in con^*(I_{\mathbf{c}})$;
2. *there exists a function $L$ such that:* $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s}) = L\left((\langle\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{s}\rangle)_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}}\right)$.
3. $H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0})\neq 0$.

*Then $s=\iota(f)$ is indeed a pole of order $\rho(f;P)$ and*

$$Z(f;P;s) \sim_{s\to\iota(f)} \frac{C(f;P)}{(s-\iota(f))^{\rho(f;P)}}, \qquad \text{where } C(f;P) := H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0})d^{\rho(f;P)}A_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}},P)\neq 0.$$

Let me now give some additional remarks:
**Remark 1.** If $P$ is elliptic, then $con^*\left(supp(P)\right) = \mathbb{R}_+^{*n}$. Consequently $\Sigma_f(P;\mathbf{1}) = \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_f)(\mathbf{1})$.

**Remark 2** From the definition of $I_{\mathbf{c}}$ it follows that the smallest face which contains $\mathbf{c}$ is $\bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}}\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_f)(\boldsymbol{\beta})$. A well known result of convex analysis then implies that the set of its

polar vectors is $con^*(I_{\mathbf{c}})$. So assumption (1) of theorem 2 is equivalent to:
$(1)'$ $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_f)(\mathbf{1})$ is the smallest face of $\Sigma_f$ which contains $\mathbf{c}$.

**Remark 3.** The assumption (2) of theorem 2 is always satisfied if $rank\,(I_{\mathbf{c}}) = n$, in particular if $\mathbf{c}$ is a vertex of $\Sigma_f$.

**Remark 4.** In the classical case where $f(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a monomial, we have $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \zeta(s_i - \mu_i)$. Thus it is clear that $f$ is of finite type. Moreover, if we set $I = \{\frac{1}{1+\mu_i}\mathbf{e}_i \mid i = 1,\dots,n\}$, then the domain of convergence of $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s})$ equals $\mathcal{D}_f = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n;\ \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{s}\rangle > 1\ \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in I\}$. In particular it is clear that $\mathbf{c} = (1+\mu_1,\dots,1+\mu_n) \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_f)(\mathbf{1})$ and that the polar type of $f$ in $\mathbf{c}$ is $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}} = (I;\mathbf{u})$ where $u(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 1$ for all $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in I$. In addition, conditions (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 2 are easily verified. Thus, if the polynomial $P$ satisfies $\mathbf{c} \in con^*\left(supp(P)\right)$, then the conclusion of Theorem 2 follows.
We will check this if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$ and $P$ is elliptic. In this case, it is clear that $\iota(f) = |\mathbf{c}| = n$ and $\rho(f;P) = \rho_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}};P) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - rank(I) + 1 = 1$. Thus,

$$Z(P;s) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n} P^{-s/d}(\mathbf{m}) = Z(\mathbf{1};P;s) \sim_{s\to\iota(f)} \frac{A_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}},P)}{(s-n)}.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that in this case $A_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}},P) = A_0(P)$ the Sargos constant (see §2.3). So we find Mahler's result [13] without the factor $1/d$ (due to the normalization $s \to s/d$).

**Remark 5.** Let $f : \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be a multiplicative function. We suppose that $f$ is uniform i.e. that there exist two constants $C, M > 0$ such that for all prime $p$ and all $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ $f(p^{\nu_1},\dots,p^{\nu_1})$ is independent of $p$ and verifies $f(p^{\nu_1},\dots,p^{\nu_n}) \leq C(1+|\boldsymbol{\nu}|^M)$.
Set $S^*(f) = \{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \mid f(p^{\nu_1},\dots,p^{\nu_n}) \neq 0\}$. Denote by $E(f) := \mathcal{E}(S^*(f)) = conv\left(S^*(f) + \mathbb{R}_+^n\right)$ its Newton polyhedron. We assume that $\mathcal{F}\left(E(f)\right)$, its smallest face which meets the diagonal, is compact. Let $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*n}$ be a normalized polar vector of $\mathcal{F}\left(E(f)\right)$ (i.e. $\mathcal{F}\left(E(f)\right) = E(f) \cap \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}\rangle = 1\}$). Then it follows from [7] that $f$ is a function of finite type, that the polar type of $f$ at $\mathbf{c}$ is $\mathcal{T} = (I_{\mathbf{c}};\mathbf{u})$, where $I_{\mathbf{c}} := \mathcal{F}\left(E(f)\right) \cap S^*(f)$, and $\mathbf{u} = \left(f(p^{\beta_1},\dots,p^{\beta_n})\right)_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I}$. Moreover if $P$ is elliptic then $\mathbf{c} \in \Sigma_f(P;\mathbf{1})$ and:

1. Assumption (1) of theorem 2 is satisfied by definition of $\mathbf{c}$;
2. Assumption (2) of theorem 2 is satisfied if, for example, $rank(\mathcal{F}\left(E(f)\right)) = rank(S^*(f))$.
3. Assumption (3) of theorem is 2 also satisfied by ([1], Theorem 6).

In particular if $\mathcal{F}\left(E(f)\right)$ is a compact facet of the polyhedron $E(f)$, then all the assumptions of theorem 2 are satisfied.

### 3.3 General counting functions and lattice points problems

By a simple adaptation of a standard tauberian argument of Landau (see for example [6], Prop. 3.1)), we obtain, with the notations of theorems 1 and 2, the following:

**Corollary 1.** *Let $f : \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function of finite type and $P \in \mathbb{R}_+[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$. Define:*

$$N(f;P;t) := \sum_{\{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n;\ P(\mathbf{m})^{1/d}\leq t\}} f(m_1, \ldots, m_n), \qquad t > 0.$$

*Assume that $\Sigma_f(P) \neq \emptyset$. Then $N(f;P;t) = O\left(t^{\iota(f;P)}\ (\log t)^{\rho(f;P)-1}\right)$ as $t \to \infty$.*

*Now assume that there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \Sigma_f(P;\mathbf{1})$ satisfying the conditions of theorem 2. Then there exist $\theta > 0$ and a polynomial $Q \neq 0$ of degree $\rho(f;P) - 1$ such that as $t \to \infty$*

$$N(f;P;t) = t^{\iota(f)}Q(\log t) + O\left(t^{\iota(f)-\theta}\right) = C_0(f;P)\ t^{\iota(f)}(\log t)^{\rho(f,P)-1}\ \left(1 + O\left((\log t)^{-1}\right)\right),$$

*where*

$$C_0(f;P) := \frac{C(f;P)}{\iota(f)\ (\rho(f;P)-1)!} = \frac{H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0})d^{\rho(f;P)}A_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}},P)}{\iota(f)\ (\rho(f;P)-1)!} > 0.$$

**Remark:** Corollary 1 estimates counting functions associated to a large class of multivariable arithmetic functions and polynomials. To our knowledge, the only comparable result is due to de la Bretèche [3] who proved estimates for counting functions of the form $N_\infty(f;t) := \sum_{\{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n;\ \max_i m_i\leq t\}} f(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ for functions $f$ satisfying conditions similar to those in Definition 2. So corollary 1 can be viewed as an extension of his result to a class of generalized heights determined by a homogeneous $P \in \mathbb{R}_+[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. $\diamondsuit$

We apply this Corollary to some cases of arithmetic interest. The first example is motivated by the work of K. Matsumoto and Y. Tanigawa [16]. Consider $n$ arithmetical functions $f_j : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$. We assume that for each $j$ there exist $c_j > 0$, $u_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta_j > 0$ such that the zeta function $Z(f_j;s) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_j(m)}{m^s}$. converges absolutely in the half-plane $\{\sigma > c_j\}$ and has a meromorphic continuation with moderate growth to $\{\sigma > c_j - \eta\}$ whose only pole occurs at $s = c_j$ with order $u_j$.

Let $P(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be elliptic of degree $d \geq 1$.

Then, for $\Re s$ sufficiently large, the series: $Z(\mathbf{f};P;s) := \displaystyle\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{f_1(m_1)\ldots f_n(m_n)}{P^{s/d}(m_1, \ldots, m_n)}$,
converges absolutely. For $t > 0$ define: $N(\mathbf{f};P;t) := \displaystyle\sum_{\{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n;\ P^{1/d}(\mathbf{m})\leq t\}} f_1(m_1)\ldots f_n(m_n)$.

Set $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$, $c := |\mathbf{c}| = c_1 + \cdots + c_n$ and $\rho_0 := \sum_{j=1}^n u_j - n + 1$.
Also, set $I := \{c_j^{-1}\mathbf{e}_j \mid j = 1, \ldots, n\}$, $\mathbf{u} = (u(\boldsymbol{\beta}))_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I}$, where $u(c_j^{-1}\mathbf{e}_j) = u_j$ for all $j$, $\mathcal{T}_0 = (I;\mathbf{u})$, and $A_0(\mathcal{T}_0;P)$ the mixed volume constant associated to $\mathcal{T}_0$ and $P$.
Finally, for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, set $A_j = \lim_{s\to 0} s^{u_j} Z(f_j;P;c_j + s) \neq 0$ and $A := \prod_{j=1}^n A_j$.

**Corollary 2.** *There exists $\eta > 0$ such that $s \mapsto Z(\mathbf{f};P;s)$ has a meromorphic continuation with moderate growth to $\{\sigma > c - \eta\}$ whose only pole is $s = c$ with order equal to $\rho_0$. Moreover: $Z(\mathbf{f};P;s) \sim_{s\to c} A\ d^{\rho_0} A_0(\mathcal{T}_0,P)\ (s-c)^{-\rho_0}$.*

*If we assume in addition that* $f_1(m_1)\dots f_n(m_n)\geq 0$ *then there exists* $\delta>0$ *and a polynomial* $Q\neq 0$ *of degree* $\rho_0-1$ *such that*

$$N(\mathbf{f};P;t)=t^cQ(\log t)+O(t^{c-\delta})=C\ t^c\log^{\rho_0-1}t\cdot\left(1+O(\frac{1}{\log t})\right)\ \text{as } t\to\infty,$$

*where* $C=\dfrac{A\ d^{\rho_0}A_0(\mathcal{T}_0,P)}{c\ (\rho_0-1)!}$.

**Remark.** In fact, [16] only studied the meromorphic continuation of the multiple zeta function $\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n}\frac{f_1(m_1)\dots f_n(m_n)}{m_1^{s_1}\dots(m_1+\dots+m_n)^{s_n}}$. The point of Corollary 2 is that it extends [ibid.] by noting that Corollary 1 can be applied to deduce precise information about the principal part of any mixed zeta function $Z(\mathbf{f};P;s)$ at its first pole, as well as an explicit asymptotic of $N(\mathbf{f};P;t)$ whenever $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m}):=f_1(m_1)\dots f_n(m_n)\geq 0$.

A particular application of this corollary is therefore the following. Let $k\geq 2$ be a positive integer and let $B_k$ denote the set of lattice points $\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n$ such that each $m_i$ is "$k-$free" (i.e. $v_p(m_i)\leq k-1$ for any prime $p$) and set $\mathbf{1}_{B_k}$ to be the characteristic function of $B_k$.

**Corollary 3.** *Let* $P\in\mathbb{R}_+[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ *be elliptic of degree* $d\geq 1$. *Set*

$$N(\mathbf{1}_{B_k};P;t):=\#\{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n\mid P^{1/d}(m_1,\dots,m_n)\leq t \text{ and } m_i \text{ is } k-\text{free } \forall i\ \}.$$

*Then there exists* $\theta>0$ *such that:* $N(\mathbf{1}_{B_k};P;t)=C_n(B_k,P)\ t^n+O\left(t^{n-\theta}\right)$, *where*

$$C_n(B_k,P):=\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(k)}\right)^n\cdot\frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\cap\mathbb{R}_+^n}P_d^{-n/d}(\mathbf{v})\ d\sigma(\mathbf{v}).$$

**Remark.** $B_2$ is the set of lattice points $\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n$ such that each $m_i$ is squarefree. In this case Corollary 3 gives $C_n(B_2,P):=\left(\frac{6}{\pi^2}\right)^n\frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\cap\mathbb{R}_+^n}P_d^{-n/d}(\mathbf{v})\ d\sigma(\mathbf{v})$.

A second application of Corollary 1 concerns the subset $D_k$ of $\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n$ such that $m_1\cdots m_n$ is $k-$free. This set is not the $n-$fold product of a multiplicative subset of $\mathbb{N}$ whose asymptotic is standard. So, we should not expect the constant in the main term to have as simple a structure as in Corollary 3.

**Corollary 4.** *Let* $P\in\mathbb{R}_+[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ *be elliptic of degree* $d\geq 1$. *Let* $k\geq 2$ *be a positive integer and set*

$$N(\mathbf{1}_{D_k};P;t)=\#\{\mathbf{m}=(m_1,\dots,m_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n: P^{1/d}(\mathbf{m})\leq t \text{ and } m_1\dots m_n \text{ is } k-\text{free}\}.$$

*Then there exists* $\theta>0$ *such that:* $N(\mathbf{1}_{D_k};P;t)=C_n\left(D_k,P\right)\ t^n+O\left(t^{n-\theta}\right)$, *where*

$$C_n\left(D_k,P\right):=\left[\prod_p\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^n\left(1+\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}\frac{\binom{n+l-1}{l}}{p^l}\right)\right]\ \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\cap\mathbb{R}_+^n}P_d^{-n/d}(\mathbf{v})\ d\sigma(\mathbf{v}).$$

A fourth class of examples is restricted to $n-$tuples of the primes $\mathcal{P}$. Let $H\in\mathbb{Z}_+[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ be a polynomial. For any positive integer $k$, set $V_k(H):=\{(p_1,\dots,p_n)\in\mathcal{P}^n\mid k=H(p_1,\dots,p_n)\}$. The following corollary gives some results about $V_k(H)$ on average, assuming (RH). Set for all $t>0$:

1. $N^{(0)}(\mathcal{P}^n; H; t) := \sum_{k\leq t} V_k(H) = \sum_{\{(p_1,\dots,p_n)\in\mathcal{P}^n;\ H(p_1,\dots,p_n)\leq t\}} 1$,
2. $N^{(1)}(\mathcal{P}^n; H; t) := \sum_{\{(p_1,\dots,p_n)\in\mathcal{P}^n;\ H(p_1,\dots,p_n)\leq t\}} \log p_1 \dots \log p_n$
3. $N^{(2)}(\mathcal{P}^n; H; t) := \sum_{\{(m_1,\dots,m_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n;\ H(m_1,\dots,m_n)\leq t\}} \Lambda(m_1)\dots\Lambda(m_n)$ where $\Lambda$ denotes Mangoldt's function.

In order to obtain some results toward Goldbach's conjecture on average, the functions $N^{(1)}(\mathcal{P}^n; H; t)$ and $N^{(2)}(\mathcal{P}^n; H; t)$ have been studied by several papers ([8], [9], ..) in the particular case $H(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2$. In such work, the important point has been to get as good an error term as possible. One can, however, without much additional effort, derive from corollary 2 above an explicit main term for a large class of nonlinear polynomials $H$ as follows.

**Corollary 5.** *Let $H \in \mathbb{R}_+[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be an elliptic polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$. Assuming (RH), there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$:*
*$N^{(i)}(\mathcal{P}^n; H; t) = C\ t^{n/d} + O(t^{n/d-\delta})$, where $C = \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\cap\mathbb{R}_+^n} H^{-n/d}(\mathbf{v})\ d\sigma(\mathbf{v})$.*

**Remark.** By using corollary 5, it is easy to see that for any elliptic polynomial $H$ of degree $d \geq 1$, there exist $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$:

$$\alpha\ t^{n/d}(\log t)^{-n} \leq N^{(0)}(\mathcal{P}^n; H; t) := \sum_{k\leq t} V_k(H) \leq \beta\ t^{n/d}.$$

# 4 Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 and their corollaries

## 4.1 Fundamental lemmas and their proofs

In this section we will gather some important lemmas for the proofs of our theorems. Lemma 1, rather elementary, will enable us to justify convergences of the integrals in our proofs. Lemmas 2 and 3 are the heart of our method and constitute the most important technical part of this work.

**Lemma 1.** *Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\mu}^r$ be vectors of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Set for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$F_n(\tau) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + |y_i|)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}^i, \mathbf{y}\rangle| + |\tau - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle\boldsymbol{\mu}^i, \mathbf{y}\rangle|\right)}\ dy_1 \dots dy_n$$

*There exist $A = A(l, n), C = C(l, n) > 0$ such that $\forall\tau \in \mathbb{R}$: $F_n(\tau) \leq C\ (1 + |\tau|)^A\ e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|\tau|}$.*

**Proof of the Lemma 1:**
Set $\psi_n(l;\tau) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + |y_i|)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| + |\tau - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i|\right)}\ dy_1 \dots dy_n$. Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}^i, \mathbf{y}\rangle| + \left|\tau - |\mathbf{y}| - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle\boldsymbol{\mu}^i, \mathbf{y}\rangle\right| \geq \left|\sum_{i=1}^n \langle\boldsymbol{\mu}^i, \mathbf{y}\rangle + \tau - |\mathbf{y}| - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle\boldsymbol{\mu}^i, \mathbf{y}\rangle\right| \geq \left|\tau - |\mathbf{y}|\right|.$$

It follows that $F_n(\tau) \leq \psi_n(l;\tau)$. So to prove the lemma it is sufficient to prove the same inequality for $\psi_n(l;\tau)$. We now proceed by induction on $n$.

• **Case n=1:**
It is sufficient to prove the inequality for $\psi_1^+(l;\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty}(1+y)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(y+|\tau-y|)}\, dy$ and $\tau > 1$. The inequalities for the other cases rise in a similar way.
For $\tau > 1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1^+(l;\tau) &= \int_0^{+\infty}(1+y)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(y+|\tau-y|)}\, dy \\
&= \int_0^{\tau}(1+y)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(y+|\tau-y|)}\, dy + \int_{\tau}^{+\infty}(1+y)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(y+|\tau-y|)}\, dy \\
&\ll_l \tau^{l+1} + e^{\frac{\pi}{2}\tau}\int_{\tau}^{+\infty}(1+y)^l e^{-\pi y}\, dy \ll_l \tau^{l+1} + e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\tau}\int_1^{+\infty}(t+\tau)^l e^{-\pi t}\, dt \\
&\ll_l \tau^{l+1} + (\tau+1)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\tau}\int_1^{+\infty}(1+t)^l e^{-\pi t}\, dt \ll_l (\tau+1)^{l+1} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma for $n = 1$.
• **End of the induction:**
Let $n \geq 2$. Assume that the lemma is true for $n-1$. Thus there exists $A > 0$ such that $\psi_{n-1}(l;\tau) \ll_l (1+|\tau|)^A\ e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|\tau|}$ $(\tau \in \mathbb{R})$. It follows that we have uniformly in $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_n(l;\tau) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+|y_i|)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| + |\tau - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i|\right)}\, dy_1 \dots dy_n \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{n-1}(l;\tau - y_n)\ (1+|y_n|)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|y_n|}\, dy_n \\
&\ll_{l,n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|\tau-y_n|)^A e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|\tau-y_n|}(1+|y_n|)^l e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|y_n|}\, dy_n \quad (\text{ by the induction hypothesis}) \\
&\ll_{l,n} (1+|\tau|)^A \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|y_n|)^{A+l} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(|y_n|+|\tau-y_n|)}\, dy_n \ll_{l,n} (1+|\tau|)^A \psi_1(A+l;\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

We finish the recurrence and the proof of the lemma 1 by using the case $n = 1$ above. $\diamondsuit$

Before stating the central lemma of this paper, we will first introduce some needed notations:
Let $a \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho > 0$, $\delta > 0$. Let $I$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\mathbf{u} = (u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I}$ be a finite sequence of positive integers. Set for all $\delta', \rho' > 0$,

$$\mathcal{D}(\delta';\rho') := \{(s,\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sigma > a - \delta' \text{ et } |\Re(z_i)| < \rho'\ \forall i = 1,\dots,n\}.$$

Let $L(s,\mathbf{z})$ be a holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}(2\delta;2\rho)$. Assume that there exist $l, l' > 0$ such that we have uniformly in $(s;\mathbf{z}) \in \mathcal{D}(2\delta;2\rho)$:

$$L(s;\mathbf{z}) \ll \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+|y_i|)^l (1+|\tau - y_1 - \dots - y_n|)^{\sigma+l'} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}\left(|\tau| - \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| - |\tau - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i|\right)}.$$

We denote by $I_0$ the set of real numbers which are the coordinates of at least one element of $I$, and by $\mathbb{Q}(I_0)$ the number field generated by $I_0$.

We set also for all $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) \in ]-\rho, +\rho[^n$ such that $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ are $\mathbb{Q}(I_0)$-linearly independent and for all $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$T_n(s) = T_n(a, q, I, \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, L; s) := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \dots \int_{\rho_n - i\infty}^{\rho_n + i\infty} \frac{L(s; \mathbf{z})\ dz_1 \dots dz_n}{(s - a - \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{z} \rangle)^q\ \prod_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I} \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{z} \rangle^{u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}}$$

We define finally $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\beta}; L)$ by:
$\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\beta}; L) = 1$ if $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in con^*(I) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and if there exist two analytic functions $H$ and $U$ on $\mathcal{D}(2\delta; 2\rho)$ such that $L(s; \mathbf{z}) = U(s; \mathbf{z}) H\left(s; (\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{z} \rangle)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I}\right)$ and $H(s; \mathbf{0}) \equiv 0$.
Otherwise we set $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\beta}; L) = 0$.
We can now state the crucial lemma of this paper:

**Lemma 2.** 1. *$s \mapsto T_n(s)$ converges absolutely in $\{\sigma > a + \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i \rho_i|\}$;*

2. *There exists $\eta > 0$ such that $s \mapsto T_n(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation with moderate growth to the half-plane $\{\Re(s) > a - \eta\}$ with at most a single pole at $s = a$;*

3. *If $s = a$ is a pole of $T_n(s)$ then its order is at most $d_n$, where $d_n := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - rank(I) + q - \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\beta}; L)$.*

## Proof of lemma 2:

To prove lemma 2, we will proceed by induction on $n$.
Throughout the discussion, we use the following notations. Given $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ we set: $\mathbf{z}' := (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ and $l(\mathbf{z}) := \frac{1}{z_n} \mathbf{z}' = \left(\frac{z_1}{z_n}, \dots, \frac{z_{n-1}}{z_n}\right)$ if $z_n \neq 0$.

**Step 1: Study of the case $n = 1$:**
Set $A = \prod_{\alpha \in I} \alpha^{u(\alpha)}$ and $c = \sum_{\alpha \in I} u(\alpha)$. We have:

$$T_1(s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \frac{L(s; z)\ dz}{(s - a - \beta z)^q\ \prod_{\alpha \in I} (\alpha z)^{u(\alpha)}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i) A} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \frac{L(s; z)\ dz}{(s - a - \beta z)^q z^c}.$$

From our assumptions and lemma 1 it follows that $s \mapsto T_1(s)$ converges absolutely and defines a holomorphic function with moderate growth in $\{\sigma > a - \eta\}$ where $\eta = \inf(-\beta\rho_1, \delta)$.
- If $\beta\rho_1 < 0$ then $\eta > 0$. This proves the lemma in this case.
- if $\beta = 0$ then $T_1(s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i) A (s-a)^q} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \frac{L(s;z)\ dz}{z^c}$. It follows that $s \mapsto T_1(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation with moderate growth to the half-plane $\{\sigma > a - \delta\}$ with at most one pole at $s = a$ of order at most $q \leq d_1$.
- We assume $\beta\rho_1 > 0$:

The residue theorem and lemma 1 imply that for $\sigma > a + \beta\rho_1$:
$T_1(s) = T_1'(s) + T_1''(s)$ where $T_1'(s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i) A} \int_{-\rho_1 - i\infty}^{-\rho_1 + i\infty} \frac{L(s;z)\ dz}{(s - a - \beta z)^q z^c}$ and $T_1''(s) = \frac{1}{A} Res_{z=0} \frac{L(s;z)}{(s - a - \beta z)^q z^c}$.
It is clear that $s \mapsto T_1'(s)$ converges absolutely and defines a meromorphic function with moderate growth in the half-plane $\{\sigma > a - \eta\}$ where $\eta = \inf(\delta, \beta\rho_1)$.
If $c = 0$ then $T_1''(s) \equiv 0$. Thus $T_1(s) = T_1'(s)$ satisfies the conclusions of lemma 2.
We assume now that $c \geq 1$. An easy computation shows that

$$T_1''(s) = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{k=0}^{c-1} \frac{\binom{-q}{k} (-\beta)^k}{(c - 1 - k)!} \frac{\partial_z^{(c-1-k)} L(s; 0)}{(s - a)^{q+k}}.$$

We deduce that $T_1(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation with moderate growth to $\{\sigma > a-\eta\}$ with at most one pole at $s = a$ of order at most:

1. $\mathrm{ord}_{s=a}T_1(s) \leq q + c - 1 = q + \sum_{\beta\in I} u(\beta) - rank(I) = d_1$ if $L(s,0) \neq 0$ (because in this case $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\beta}; L) = 0$);
2. $\mathrm{ord}_{s=a}T_1(s) \leq q + c - 2 = q + \sum_{\beta\in I} u(\beta) - rank(I) - 1 \leq d_1$ if $L(s,0) = 0$;

Therefore the lemma 2 is true for $n = 1$.

**Step 2: Let $n \geq 2$. We assume that lemma 2 is true for any $\mathbb{R}^k, k \leq n-1$. We will show that it also remains true for $\mathbb{R}^n$:**
**We justify this assertion by induction on the integer**

$$h = h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) := \#\{i \in \{1,\ldots,n\} \mid \beta_i\rho_i \geq 0\} \in \{0,\ldots,n\}.$$

• **Proof of lemma 2 for $h = 0$:**
Since $h = 0$ then for each $i = 1,\ldots,n$, $\beta_i\rho_i < 0$. It follows from lemma 1 that $s \mapsto T_n(s)$ converges absolutely and defines a holomorphic function with moderate growth in the half-plane $\{\sigma > a - \eta\}$ where $\eta = \inf(-\langle\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\rho}\rangle, \delta) > 0$. Thus lemma 2 is also true in this case.

• **Let $h \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. We assume that lemma 2 is true for $h(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\rho}) \leq h-1$. We will prove that it remains true for $h(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\rho}) = h$ :**
If $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$ then $T_n(s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n(s-a)^q}\int_{\rho_1-i\infty}^{\rho_1+i\infty}\cdots\int_{\rho_n-i\infty}^{\rho_n+i\infty}\frac{L(s;\mathbf{z})\ dz_1\ldots dz_n}{\prod_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{z}\rangle^{u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}}$. Since the $\rho_i$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}(I_0)$, lemma 1 implies that lemma 2 is true in this case, in the sense that there is at most one pole at $s = a$ of order at most $q \leq d_n$.
If $\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\beta_i\rho_i \leq 0$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,n$, then there exists $i_0$ such that $\beta_{i_0}\rho_{i_0} < 0$. In this case, it is also easy to see that $s \mapsto T_n(s)$ is *holomorphic* with moderate growth in $\{\sigma > a-\eta\}$ where $\eta = \inf(\delta, -\beta_{i_0}\rho_{i_0}) > 0$. It follows that lemma 2 is also true in this case.
So to finish the proof of lemma 2 it suffices to consider the case where there exists $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that $\beta_i\rho_i > 0$.
Without loss of generality we can assume that $\beta_n\rho_n > 0$.
Set $J := \left\{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \mid \alpha_n \neq 0 \text{ and } \left|\dfrac{\alpha_1\rho_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}}{\alpha_n}\right| < |\rho_n|\right\}$.
Consider the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ defined on $J$ by: $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathcal{R}\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ iff $\alpha_n\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \gamma_n\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Denote by $J_1,\ldots,J_r$ its equivalence classes (they form a partition of $J$).
Choose for each $k = 1,\ldots,r$, an element $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k \in J_k$ and set $c_k := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in J_k} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$.
Since $\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n$ are $\mathbb{Q}(I_0)$-linearly independent, it follows from the residue theorem and lemma 1 that there exist constants $A_1,\ldots,A_r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$\forall\sigma > a + \sum_{i=1}^{n}|\beta_i\rho_i|, \quad T_n(s) = T_n^0(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} A_k T_{n-1}^k(s) \tag{2}$$

where

$$T_n^0(s) := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{\rho_1-i\infty}^{\rho_1+i\infty}\cdots\int_{\rho_{n-1}-i\infty}^{\rho_{n-1}+i\infty}\int_{-\rho_n-i\infty}^{-\rho_n+i\infty}\frac{L(s;\mathbf{z})\ dz_1\ldots dz_n}{(s-a-\langle\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{z}\rangle)^q\prod_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{z}\rangle^{u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}}$$

and for each $k = 1, \dots, r$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n-1}^{k}(s) \quad := \quad & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \dots \int_{\rho_{n-1} - i\infty}^{\rho_{n-1} + i\infty} \frac{1}{(c_k - 1)!} \\ & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}\right)^{c_k - 1} \frac{L(s; \mathbf{z})}{(s - a - \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{z} \rangle)^q \prod_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \setminus J_k} \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{z} \rangle^{u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}} \Big|_{z_n = -\langle l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k), \mathbf{z}' \rangle} \, dz_1 \dots dz_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}, -\rho_n)\right) = h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) - 1 = h - 1$, the induction hypothesis for $h - 1$ implies that $s \mapsto T_n^0(s)$ satisfies the conclusions of lemma 2. So to conclude, it is enough to prove lemma 2 for each $s \mapsto T_{n-1}^k(s)$.

We then choose and fix any $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ for the rest of the discussion.
An easy computation shows that:

$$T_{n-1}^{k}(s) = \sum_{u + v + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \setminus J_k} k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = c_k - 1} w\left(u, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})\right) R_k\left(u, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}); s\right) \tag{3}$$

where $u, v$ and the $k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ are in $\mathbb{N}_0$, each $w\left(u, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R_k\left(u, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}); s\right) \quad := \quad & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \dots \int_{\rho_{n-1} - i\infty}^{\rho_{n-1} + i\infty} \\ & \frac{\frac{\partial^u L}{\partial {z_n}^u}(s; \mathbf{z}', -\langle l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k), \mathbf{z}' \rangle) \; dz_1 \dots dz_{n-1}}{\left(s - a - \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}' - \beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k), \mathbf{z}' \rangle\right)^{q+v} \prod_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \setminus J_k} \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}' - \alpha_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k), \mathbf{z}' \rangle^{u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) + k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

So to conclude it suffices to prove lemma 2 for each $R_k\left(u, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}); s\right)$.
We fix now $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $(k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \setminus J_k}$ such that $u + v + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \setminus J_k} k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = c_k - 1$.
The induction hypothesis implies that there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $s \mapsto R_k\left(u, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}); s\right)$ has meromorphic continuation with moderate growth to the half-plane $\{\sigma > a - \eta\}$ with at most one pole at $s = a$ of order at most

$$ord_{s=a} R_k\left(u, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}); s\right) \leq \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \setminus J_k} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) + k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right) - rank(V) + (q + v) - \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}' - \beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k); \tilde{L}_u\right), \tag{5}$$

where $V := \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}' - \alpha_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k) \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \setminus J_k\}$ and $\tilde{L}_u(s; \mathbf{z}') := \frac{\partial^u L}{\partial {z_n}^u}\left(s; \mathbf{z}', -\langle l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k), \mathbf{z}' \rangle\right)$.
Set $\tilde{V} := \left\{\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_n^k} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^k \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \setminus J_k\right\} = \{\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}' - \alpha_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k), 0\right) \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I \setminus J_k\}$.
It is clear that $rank(\tilde{V}) = rank(V)$. Moreover it follows from the definition of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k$ that $\alpha_n^k \neq 0$, and therefore $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k \notin Vect_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{V})$. We deduce that:

$$rank(I) = rank(\tilde{V} \cup \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k\}) = rank(\tilde{V}) + 1 = rank(V) + 1. \tag{6}$$

So it follows from (5) and (6) that:

$$\begin{aligned}
ord_{s=a}R_k\left(u,v,(k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}});s\right) &\leq \Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I\setminus J_k} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})+k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\Big)-rank(V)+q+v-\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k);\tilde{L}_u\right)\\
&= c_k-1+\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I\setminus J_k} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})-rank(V)+q-u-\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k);\tilde{L}_u\right)\\
&\leq \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})-(rank(V)+1)+q-\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k);\tilde{L}_u\right)-u\\
&\leq \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})-rank(I)+q-\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k);\tilde{L}_u\right)-u.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus from the definition of $d_n$ we see that:

$$ord_{s=a}R_k\left(u,v,(k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}});s\right)\leq d_n+\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\beta};L)-\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k);\tilde{L}_u\right)-u. \tag{7}$$

If $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\beta};L)-\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k);\tilde{L}_u\right)\leq 0$ or $u\neq 0$, then $ord_{s=a}R_k\left(u,v,(k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}});s\right)\leq d_n$, which completes the proof.
So, we now assume that $u=0$ and $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\beta};L)-\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k);\tilde{L}_0\right)>0$, that is,
$u=0,\quad \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\beta};L)=1$ and $\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k);\tilde{L}_0\right)=0$.
Therefore we assume that $\boldsymbol{\beta}\in con^*(I)\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$, and $L$ is of the form $L(s;\mathbf{z})=U(s;\mathbf{z})H\left(s;(\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{z}\rangle)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\right)$ with $H(s;\mathbf{0})\equiv 0$.
It is then clear that $\tilde{L}_0$ is also of the form:

$$\tilde{L}_0(s,\mathbf{z'})=\tilde{U}(s;\mathbf{z'})\tilde{H}\left(s;(\langle\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{z'}\rangle)_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\in V}\right)\text{ with }\tilde{H}(s;\mathbf{0})\equiv 0. \tag{8}$$

This and the fact that $\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k);\tilde{L}_0\right)=0$ imply that we have necessarily:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n^k}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k)'\notin con^*(V)\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}. \tag{9}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\beta}\in con^*(I)\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$, there exists $\{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\subset\mathbb{R}_+^*$ such that $\boldsymbol{\beta}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. This implies $\boldsymbol{\beta'}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\alpha'}$ and $\beta_n=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\alpha_n$. We deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k) &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\alpha'}-\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\alpha_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I}\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha'}-\alpha_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k)\right)\\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I\setminus J_k}\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha'}-\alpha_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k)\right)\text{ (because }\boldsymbol{\alpha'}-\alpha_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k)=0\text{ if }\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in J_k).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k)\in con^*(V)$. Therefore (9) gives $\boldsymbol{\beta'}-\beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k)=\mathbf{0}$.
It follows then from (4) that

$$ord_{s=a}R_k\left(0,v,(k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}});s\right)\leq q+v=q+c_k-1-\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I\setminus J_k}k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\leq q+\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in J_k}u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})-1. \tag{10}$$

But $\boldsymbol{\beta}' - \beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k) = \mathbf{0}$ implies also that $\boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n^k}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k = \big(\boldsymbol{\beta}' - \beta_n l(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k), 0\big) = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore we get $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \dfrac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n^k}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k$. In particular, since $\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have $\beta_n \neq 0$ and thus $Vect_{\mathbb{R}}(J_k) = \mathbb{R}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k = \mathbb{R}\boldsymbol{\beta}$. Since $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in con^*(I)$, it follows that $rank(I) = rank(I \setminus \{\boldsymbol{\beta}\})$, which, we therefore now see, implies $rank(I) = rank(I \setminus J_k)$, unless $I = J_k$.

**Assume first that $I \neq J_k$:**

In this event, combining (10) with the fact that $rank(I \setminus J_k) = rank(I)$, we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}
ord_{s=a} R_k\left(0, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}); s\right) &\leq q + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - rank(I) - \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I\setminus J_k} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) + rank(I) - 1 \\
&\leq q + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - rank(I) - 1 - \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I\setminus J_k} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - rank(I \setminus J_k)\right) \\
&\leq q + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - rank(I) - 1 - \left(\#\left(I \setminus J_k\right) - rank(I \setminus J_k)\right) \\
&\leq q + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - rank(I) - 1 \leq d_n.
\end{aligned}$$

**Assume that $I = J_k$:**

It is then clear that $V = \emptyset$ and it follows from (8) that $\tilde{L}_0(s; \mathbf{z}') \equiv 0$. Since $u = 0$, (4) implies that $R_k\left(0, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}); s\right) \equiv 0$, in which case, it is obvious that we have $ord_{s=a} R_k\left(0, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}); s\right) \leq d_n$.

We conclude that for any $u, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$, $ord_{s=a} R_k\left(u, v, (k_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}); s\right) \leq d_n$. This finishes the induction argument on $h$, therefore, also on $n$, and completes the proof of lemma 2. $\diamondsuit$

**Lemma 3.** *Let* $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*r}$ *and* $a = |\mathbf{a}| = a_1 + \cdots + a_r$. *Let* $I$ *be a finite nonempty subset of* $\mathbb{R}_+^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathbf{u} = (u(\boldsymbol{\beta}))_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I}$ *a finite sequence of positive integers and* $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \ldots, h_r) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*r}$. *Assume that:* $\mathbf{1} \in con(I)$ *and that* $\langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = 1$ *for all* $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I$.
*Let* $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*r}$. *For* $\sigma = \Re(s) > a + |\boldsymbol{\rho}|$ *set:*

$$\mathcal{R}(s) := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r - i\infty}^{\rho_r + i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s - a - z_1 - \cdots - z_r)\ \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(a_i + z_i)\ d\mathbf{z}}{\Gamma(s)\ \prod_{k=1}^r h_k^{a_k + z_k}\ \prod_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I} \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{z} \rangle^{u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}}.$$

*Then there exists* $\eta > 0$ *such that* $s \mapsto \mathcal{R}(s)$ *has a meromorphic continuation to the half-plane* $\{\sigma > a - \eta\}$ *with exactly one pole at* $s = a$ *of order* $\rho_0 := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - rank\,(I) + 1$. *Moreover we have* $\mathcal{R}(s) \sim_{s\to a} \dfrac{A_0(I; \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{h})}{(s-a)^{\rho_0}}$, *where* $A_0(I; \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{h})$ *is the volume constant (see* §*2.3) associated to* $I$, $\mathbf{u}$ *and* $\mathbf{h}$.

**Proof of lemma 3:**

Set $q := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$. We define $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^q \in \mathbb{R}_+^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ by:
$\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^i \mid i = 1, \ldots, q\} = I$ and $\forall \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I\ \#\{i \in \{1, .., q\} \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i = \boldsymbol{\alpha}\} = u(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$.
We define then $\boldsymbol{\mu}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\mu}^r \in \mathbb{R}_+^q$ by: $\mu_i^k = \alpha_k^i\ \forall i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\forall k = 1, \ldots, r$.
Set $G(\mathbf{X}) = 1 + P_{(I;\mathbf{u};\mathbf{h})}(\mathbf{X}) := 1 + \sum_{k=1}^r h_k\ \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}^k}$. $G$ is a generalized polynomial with positive coefficients. Moreover for any $i = 1, \ldots, q$ there exists $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $\mu_i^k = \alpha_k^i \neq 0$.

It follows that $P$ depends on all the variables $X_1,\dots,X_q$.
We will first prove that for $\sigma\gg 0$, $\mathcal{R}(s)=\int_{[1,+\infty[^n}G^{-s}(\mathbf{x})\ d\mathbf{x}$.
Mellin's formula (1) implies that for all $\sigma\gg 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(s) &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r}\int_{\rho_1-i\infty}^{\rho_1+i\infty}\cdots\int_{\rho_r-i\infty}^{\rho_r+i\infty}\frac{\Gamma(s-a-z_1-\cdots-z_r)\ \prod_{i=1}^r\Gamma(a_i+z_i)\ d\mathbf{z}}{\Gamma(s)\ \prod_{i=1}^r h_i^{a_i+z_i}\ \prod_{k=1}^q\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k,\mathbf{z}\rangle}\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r}\int_{a_1+\rho_1-i\infty}^{a_1+\rho_1+i\infty}\cdots\int_{a_r+\rho_r-i\infty}^{a_r+\rho_r+i\infty}\frac{\Gamma(s-z_1-\cdots-z_r)\ \prod_{i=1}^r\Gamma(z_i)\ d\mathbf{z}}{\Gamma(s)\ \prod_{i=1}^r h_i^{z_i}\ \prod_{k=1}^q(\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k,\mathbf{z}\rangle-1)}\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r}\int_{a_1+\rho_1-i\infty}^{a_1+\rho_1+i\infty}\cdots\int_{a_r+\rho_r-i\infty}^{a_r+\rho_r+i\infty}\Gamma(s-z_1-\cdots-z_r)\ \Gamma(s)^{-1}\ \prod_{i=1}^r\Gamma(z_i)\ \prod_{i=1}^r h_i^{-z_i}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(\int_{[1,+\infty[^q}\prod_{k=1}^q x_k^{-\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k,\mathbf{z}\rangle}\ dx_1\dots dx_q\right)dz_1\dots dz_r\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r}\int_{a_1+\rho_1-i\infty}^{a_1+\rho_1+i\infty}\cdots\int_{a_r+\rho_r-i\infty}^{a_r+\rho_r+i\infty}\Gamma(s-z_1-\cdots-z_r)\ \Gamma(s)^{-1}\ \prod_{i=1}^r\Gamma(z_i)\ \prod_{i=1}^r h_i^{-z_i}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(\int_{[1,+\infty[^q}\prod_{i=1}^r\mathbf{x}^{-z_i\boldsymbol{\mu}^i}\ dx_1\dots dx_q\right)dz_1\dots dz_r\\
&= \int_{[1,+\infty[^q}\Big[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r}\int_{a_1+\rho_1-i\infty}^{a_1+\rho_1+i\infty}\cdots\int_{a_r+\rho_r-i\infty}^{a_r+\rho_r+i\infty}\Gamma(s-z_1-\cdots-z_r)\ \Gamma(s)^{-1}\ \prod_{i=1}^r\Gamma(z_i)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\prod_{i=1}^r\left(h_i\ \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}^i}\right)^{-z_i}dz_1\dots dz_r\Big]dx_1\dots dx_q\\
&= \int_{[1,+\infty[^q}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^r h_i\ \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}^i}\right)^{-s}\ dx_1\dots dx_q=\int_{[1,+\infty[^n}G^{-s}(\mathbf{x})\ d\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

So to conclude it suffices to check that $s\mapsto Y(G;s):=\int_{[1,+\infty[^n}G^{-s}(\mathbf{x})\ d\mathbf{x}$ satisfies the conclusions of lemma 3.
Let $\mathcal{E}^\infty(G)=conv\left(supp(G)-\mathbb{R}_+^q\right)$ denote the Newton polyhedron at infinity of $G$. Denote by $G_0$ the smallest face that meets the diagonal. It follows from Sargos' result (see §2.3) that there exists $\eta>0$ such that $Y(G;s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the half-plane $\{\sigma>\sigma_0-\eta\}$ (where $\sigma_0=\sigma_0(P)$) with moderate growth and exactly one pole at $s=\sigma_0$ of order $\rho_0:=codim G_0$. Moreover $\sigma_0$ is characterized geometrically by: ${\sigma_0}^{-1}\mathbf{1}=\Delta\cap G_0=\Delta\cap\mathcal{E}^\infty(G)$ and $Y(G;s)\sim_{s\to\sigma_0}\frac{A_0(G)}{(s-\sigma_0)^{\rho_0}}$ where $A_0(G)$ is the Sargos constant associated to the polynomial $G$. It is easy to see that in our case $A_0(G)$ is equal to the volume constant $A_0(I;\mathbf{u};\mathbf{h})$ associated to $I$, $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{h}$.
By our hypothesis, we have $\mathbf{1}\in con(I)=con\left(\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k\mid k=1,\dots,q\}\right)$. Thus there exists $\mathbf{c}=(c_1,\dots,c_q)\in\mathbb{R}_+^q\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $\mathbf{1}=\sum_{k=1}^q c_k\boldsymbol{\alpha}^k$. It follows that:

$$\forall i=1,\dots,r\quad\langle\mathbf{c},\boldsymbol{\mu}^i\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^q c_k\mu_k^i=\sum_{k=1}^q c_k\alpha_i^k=1.$$

Since each $\boldsymbol{\mu}^i\in supp(G)$, we conclude that $L_{\mathbf{c}}:=\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^q\mid\langle\mathbf{c},\mathbf{x}\rangle=1\}$ is a support plane of $\mathcal{E}^{\infty}(G)$. Thus:

$$F_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty} := L_{\mathbf{c}} \cap \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(G) = conv\left(\{\boldsymbol{\mu}^i \mid i=1,\ldots,r\}\right) \text{ is a face of the polyhedron } \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(G). \quad (11)$$

By our hypothesis we know that $\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_k \mu_i^k = \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i \rangle = 1 \ \forall i = 1, \ldots, q$, which implies $\frac{1}{a}\mathbf{1} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{a_k}{a} \boldsymbol{\mu}^k \in conv\left(\{\boldsymbol{\mu}^i \mid i=1,\ldots,r\}\right)$. Thus, $\frac{1}{a}\mathbf{1} \in F_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty} \cap \Delta$, that is, the face $F_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty}$ must meet the diagonal at $\frac{1}{a}\mathbf{1}$. It follows that $\sigma_0 = a$ and that $G_0 \subset F_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty}$. Hence we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} ord_{s=a} Y(G;s) &= codim G_0 \geq codim F_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty} = q - dim F_{\mathbf{c}}^{\infty} \\ &\geq q - rank(\left(\{\boldsymbol{\mu}^i \mid i=1,\ldots,r\}\right) + 1 = q - rank(\left(\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^i \mid i=1,\ldots,q\}\right) + 1 \\ &\geq q - rank(I) + 1 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - rank(I) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

But lemma 2 implies that $ord_{s=a} Y(G;s) = ord_{s=a} \mathcal{R}(s) \leq 1 + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - rank(I)$. So we have $ord_{s=a} Y(G;s) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in I} u(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - rank(I) + 1$. This completes the proof of lemma 3. ◇

## 4.2 Proof of theorem 1

Let $\mathbf{c} \in \Sigma_f(P) = \Sigma_f \cap con^*(supp(P))$ and $\mathcal{T} = (I_{\mathbf{c}}; \mathbf{u})$ the polar type of $f$ in it.
Since $\sum \frac{|f(m_1,\ldots,m_n)|}{(m_1 \ldots m_n)^t} < +\infty$ for $t = 1 + \sup_i c_i$, we certainly have

$$f(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \ll (m_1 \ldots m_n)^t \text{ uniformly in } \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n. \quad (12)$$

Let $P \in \mathbb{R}_+[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$ which depends on all the variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$. Set $P(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{k=1}^{r} b_k \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^k}$ ($b_k \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \ \forall k$).
Since $\mathbf{c} \in con^*(supp(P))$, there exists $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*r}$, such that $\mathbf{c} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} a_k \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k$. It follows that we have uniformly in $\mathbf{x} \in [1, +\infty[^n$:

$$(x_1^{c_1} \ldots x_n^{c_n})^{1/|\mathbf{a}|} = \left(\mathbf{x}^{\sum_{k=1}^{r} (a_k/|\mathbf{a}|)\ \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k}\right) \ll \sum_{k=1}^{r} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^k} \ll P(\mathbf{x}) \ll (x_1 \ldots x_n)^d. \quad (13)$$

It is clear that (12) and (13) imply that the series $Z(f;P;s) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{f(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}{P(m_1,\ldots,m_n)^{s/d}}$ has an abscissa of convergence $\sigma_0 < +\infty$.
Moreover, (13) also implies that $\forall M \in \mathbb{N}$ we have uniformly in $\mathbf{x} \in [1, +\infty[^n$ and $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}$:
$P(\mathbf{x})^{-s/d} = \sum_{k=0}^{M} (-1)^k \binom{-s/d}{k} (1 + P(\mathbf{x}))^{-(s+dk)/d} + \mathcal{O}\left((1 + |s|^{M+1})(1 + P(\mathbf{x}))^{-(\Re(s)+dM+d)/d}\right)$.
It follows that for $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma > \sigma_0$:

$$Z(f;P;s) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} (-1)^k \binom{-s/d}{k} Z(f;1+P;s+dk) + \mathcal{O}\left((1 + |s|^{M+1}) Z(|f|; 1+P; \sigma + dM + d)\right). \quad (14)$$

Thus, it suffices to prove the assertion of the theorem for $Z(f;1+P;s)$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^n$ be $n$ elements of $\mathbb{N}_0^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ defined by: $\alpha_k^i = \gamma_i^k$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $k = 1, \ldots, r$. It follows from the assumptions on $f$ that there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 1$ such that

$$\mathbf{z} \mapsto T(\mathcal{T}; P; \mathbf{z}) := H_{\mathbf{c}}\left(f; \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{z} \rangle, \ldots, \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}^n, \mathbf{z} \rangle\right) \quad (15)$$

has a holomorphic continuation with moderate growth to $\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^r \mid \forall i \ \Re(z_i) > -\varepsilon_1\}$.
By using Mellin's formula (1) we obtain that for any $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*n}$ and $\sigma > \sup(\sigma_0, d|\boldsymbol{\rho}|)$:

$$\Gamma(s/d)\ Z(f;1+P;s) = \Gamma(s/d) \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{f(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}{\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^r b_k \mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^k}\right)^{s/d}}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1-i\infty}^{\rho_1+i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r-i\infty}^{\rho_r+i\infty} \Gamma(s/d - z_1 - \cdots - z_r) \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(z_i)}{b_i^{z_i}} \frac{f(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^r \mathbf{m}^{z_k\boldsymbol{\gamma}^k}\right)} d\mathbf{z}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1-i\infty}^{\rho_1+i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r-i\infty}^{\rho_r+i\infty} \Gamma(s/d - |\mathbf{z}|) \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(z_i)}{b_i^{z_i}} \frac{f(m_1,\ldots,m_n)}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n m_i^{\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^i,\mathbf{z}\rangle}\right)} d\mathbf{z}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1-i\infty}^{\rho_1+i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r-i\infty}^{\rho_r+i\infty} \Gamma(s/d - |\mathbf{z}|) \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(z_i)}{b_i^{z_i}}\ \mathcal{M}\left(f;\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1,\mathbf{z}\rangle,\ldots,\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^n,\mathbf{z}\rangle\right)\ d\mathbf{z}.$$

For all $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in I_{\mathbf{c}}$, we set:
$\mu(\boldsymbol{\beta}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i$, $\quad I_{\mathbf{c}}^* = \{\mu(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mid \boldsymbol{\beta} \in I_{\mathbf{c}}\}$, $\quad$ and $\mathbf{u}^* = (u^*(\boldsymbol{\eta}))_{\boldsymbol{\eta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*}$,
where
$u^*(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \sum_{\{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}};\ \mu(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\boldsymbol{\eta}\}} u(\boldsymbol{\beta})\ \forall \boldsymbol{\eta} \in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*$.
The relation $\mathbf{c} = \sum_{k=1}^r a_k \boldsymbol{\gamma}^k$ implies that $\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^i, \mathbf{a}\rangle = c_i\ \forall i$. Therefore it follows from the previous computations that for all $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*n}$ and for all $\sigma > \sup(\sigma_0, d(|\mathbf{a}| + |\boldsymbol{\rho}|))$,

$$\Gamma(s/d)\ Z(f;1+P;s)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{a_1+\rho_1-i\infty}^{a_1+\rho_1+i\infty} \cdots \int_{a_r+\rho_r-i\infty}^{a_r+\rho_r+i\infty} \Gamma(s/d - |\mathbf{z}|) \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(z_i)}{b_i^{z_i}}\ \mathcal{M}\left(f;\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1,\mathbf{z}\rangle,\ldots,\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^n,\mathbf{z}\rangle\right)\ d\mathbf{z}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1-i\infty}^{\rho_1+i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r-i\infty}^{\rho_r+i\infty} \Gamma(s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - |\mathbf{z}|) \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(a_i+z_i)}{b_i^{a_i+z_i}}\ \mathcal{M}\left(f;c_1+\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1,\mathbf{z}\rangle,\ldots,c_n+\langle\boldsymbol{\alpha}^n,\mathbf{z}\rangle\right) d\mathbf{z}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1-i\infty}^{\rho_1+i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r-i\infty}^{\rho_r+i\infty} \Gamma(s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - |\mathbf{z}|) \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(a_i+z_i)}{b_i^{a_i+z_i}}\ \frac{T(\mathcal{T};P;\mathbf{z})}{\prod_{\boldsymbol{\eta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*} \left(\langle\boldsymbol{\eta},\mathbf{z}\rangle\right)^{u^*(\boldsymbol{\eta})}}\ d\mathbf{z}$$

We deduce from this that for any $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*n}$ and $\sigma > \sup(\sigma_0, d(|\mathbf{a}| + |\boldsymbol{\rho}|))$:

$$Z(f;1+P;s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1-i\infty}^{\rho_1+i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r-i\infty}^{\rho_r+i\infty} \frac{V(s;\mathbf{z})\ d\mathbf{z}}{(s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - \langle\mathbf{1},\mathbf{z}\rangle) \prod_{\boldsymbol{\eta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*} \left(\boldsymbol{\eta},\mathbf{z}\rangle\right)^{u^*(\boldsymbol{\eta})}} \qquad (16)$$

where

$$V(s;\mathbf{z}) := (s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - |\mathbf{z}|)\,\Gamma(s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - |\mathbf{z}|)\Gamma(s/d)^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(a_i+z_i) b_i^{-a_i-z_i}\right)\ T(\mathcal{T};P;\mathbf{z}).$$

By using the classical properties of the Euler $\Gamma$ function (in particular Stirling's formula) and (15) it is easy to check that the assumptions of lemma 2 are satisfied. This implies that there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $s \mapsto Z(f;1+P;s)$ has a meromorphic continuation with moderate growth to the half-plane $\{\sigma > d|\mathbf{a}| - \eta\}$ with at most one pole at $s = d|\mathbf{a}|$. Since $|\mathbf{c}| = \langle\mathbf{1},\mathbf{c}\rangle = \langle\mathbf{1}, \sum_{k=1}^r a_k\boldsymbol{\gamma}^k\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^r a_k|\boldsymbol{\gamma}^k| = d|\mathbf{a}|$, the proof of the theorem follows immediately. $\diamondsuit$

**Proof of theorem 2:**
We assume now that there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \Sigma_f(P;\mathbf{1}) := \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_f)(\mathbf{1}) \cap con^*\left(supp(P)\right)$ satisfying:

1. $\mathbf{1} \in con^*(I_{\mathbf{c}})$;
2. there exists a function $L$ such that: $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s}) = L\left((\langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{s}\rangle)_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}}\right)$.
3. $H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0}) \neq 0$.

We also continue to use the notations of the preceding paragraph. Set

$$U(s;\mathbf{z}) := \frac{(s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - |\mathbf{z}|)\,\Gamma(s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - |\mathbf{z}|)}{\Gamma(s/d)} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\Gamma(a_i + z_i)}{b_i^{a_i+z_i}} \text{ and } \tilde{T}(\mathbf{z}) := T(\mathcal{T};P;\mathbf{z}) - T(\mathcal{T};P;\mathbf{0}).$$

Then $V(s;\mathbf{z}) := U(s;\mathbf{z})\ T(\mathcal{T};P;\mathbf{z}) = H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0})\ U(s;\mathbf{z}) + U(s;\mathbf{z})\ \tilde{T}(\mathbf{z})$.
We note that the formula (16) can be written as follows:

$$Z(f;1+P;s) = Z_1(s) + Z_2(s) \quad (\sigma \gg 0). \tag{17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1(s) &= \frac{H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0})}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r - i\infty}^{\rho_r + i\infty} \frac{U(s;\mathbf{z})\ d\mathbf{z}}{(s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{z}\rangle) \prod_{\boldsymbol{\eta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*} (\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{z}\rangle)^{u^*(\boldsymbol{\eta})}} \\
Z_2(s) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \cdots \int_{\rho_r - i\infty}^{\rho_r + i\infty} \frac{U(s;\mathbf{z})\tilde{T}(\mathbf{z})\ d\mathbf{z}}{(s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{z}\rangle) \prod_{\boldsymbol{\eta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*} (\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{z}\rangle)^{u^*(\boldsymbol{\eta})}}.
\end{aligned}$$

• **Study of $Z_2(s)$:**
Since $\mathbf{1} \in con^*(I_{\mathbf{c}})$, there exists a set $\{t_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^*$ such that
$\mathbf{1} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}} t_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ (i.e $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}} t_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \beta_i = 1\ \forall i = 1, \ldots, n$). Consequently we have:

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}} t_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mu(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}} t_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}} t_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \beta_i\big) \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i^k\big) \mathbf{e}_k = d\mathbf{1}.$$

We conclude from this that $\mathbf{1} \in con^*\left(I_{\mathbf{c}}^*\right) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Furthermore, assumption 2 implies that there exists a function $L_1$ such that $H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{s}) = L_1\left((\langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{s}\rangle)_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}}\right)$. But for any $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in I_{\mathbf{c}}$ and for any $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^r$ we have,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i, \mathbf{z}\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i, \mathbf{z} \right\rangle = \langle \mu(\boldsymbol{\beta}), \mathbf{z}\rangle.$$

It follows that: $T(\mathcal{T};P;\mathbf{z}) := H_{\mathbf{c}}\left(f; \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{z}\rangle, \ldots, \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}^n, \mathbf{z}\rangle\right) = L_1\Big((\langle \mu(\boldsymbol{\beta}), \mathbf{z}\rangle)_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}}\Big)$. Consequently there exists a function $\tilde{L}$ such that: $\tilde{T}(\mathbf{z}) = \tilde{L}\left((\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{z}\rangle)_{\boldsymbol{\eta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*}\right)$. Since in addition we have $|\mathbf{c}| = d|\mathbf{a}|$, $\tilde{T}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{1} \in con^*(I_{\mathbf{c}}^*)$, it follows from lemma 2 that:

$$ord_{s=|\mathbf{c}|} Z_2(s) \leq \rho_0^* - 1 \text{ where } \rho_0^* := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\eta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*} u^*(\boldsymbol{\eta}) - rank(I_{\mathbf{c}}^*) + 1 = \rho_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}};P). \tag{18}$$

• **Study of $Z_1(s)$ :**
It is easy to see that for $\sigma \gg 0$ :

$$Z_1(s) = \frac{H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0})}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\rho_1 - i\infty}^{\rho_1 + i\infty} \dots \int_{\rho_r - i\infty}^{\rho_r + i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s/d - |\mathbf{a}| - |\mathbf{z}|)\ \prod_{k=1}^{r} \Gamma(a_k + z_k)\ d\mathbf{z}}{\Gamma(s/d)\ \prod_{k=1}^{r} b_k^{a_k + z_k} \prod_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*} (\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{z} \rangle)^{u^*(\boldsymbol{\eta})}} .$$

Moreover we know that $H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0}) \neq 0$, $\mathbf{1} \in con(I_{\mathbf{c}}^*)$, and $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}^i, \mathbf{a} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i c_i = \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{c} \rangle = 1\ \forall \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mu(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \in I_{\mathbf{c}}^*$. Thus, it follows from lemma 3 that $s = d|\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{c}|$ is a pole of $Z_1(s)$ of order $\rho_0^*$ and that $Z_1(s) \sim_{s \to |\mathbf{c}|} \dfrac{H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0}) d^{\rho_0^*} A_0(I_{\mathbf{c}}^*;\mathbf{u}^*;\mathbf{b})}{(s - |\mathbf{c}|)^{\rho_0^*}}$, where $A_0(I_{\mathbf{c}}^*;\mathbf{u}^*;\mathbf{b}) > 0$ is the *volume constant* associated to $I_{\mathbf{c}}^*, \mathbf{u}^*$ and $\mathbf{b}$. Combining this with (18), (17), and (14), implies that $Z(f;P;s)$ has a pole at $s = |\mathbf{c}|$ of order $\rho_0^*$, and that
$Z(f;P;s) \sim_{s \to |\mathbf{c}|} \frac{H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0}) d^{\rho_0^*} A_0(I_{\mathbf{c}}^*;\mathbf{u}^*;\mathbf{b})}{(s - |\mathbf{c}|)^{\rho_0^*}}$. This completes the proof of theorem 2. $\diamondsuit$

## 4.3 Proof of corollary 2

Define a function $f : \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ by: $f(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} f_j(m_j)$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s}) := \displaystyle\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n \geq 1} \frac{f(m_1, \dots, m_n)}{m_1^{s_1} \dots m_n^{s_n}}$ converges absolutely and satisfies
$\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s}) := \prod_{j=1}^{n} Z(f_j; s_j)$ in $\Omega := \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sigma_i > c_i\ \forall i\}$. It follows that $f$ is a function of finite type, that $\mathbf{c} \in \Sigma_f$ and that $\mathcal{T}_0$ is the polar type of $f$ at $\mathbf{c}$.
In addition, we have $H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{s}) = (\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i^{u_i})\, \mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (s_i^{u_i} Z(f_i; c_i + s_i))$. Thus, $H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{0}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} A_i \neq 0$. The corollary now follows by theorem 2. $\diamondsuit$

## 4.4 Proof of corollary 3

It is easy to see that corollary 3 follows from corollary 2.
We first observe that $N(1_B;P;t) = N(\mathbf{f};P;t)$ where each $f_j : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $f_j(m) = 1$ if $m$ is $k-$free and $f_j(m) = 0$ if not.
It is then a standard exercise to show that for all $j$ and for $\sigma > 1$: $Z(f_j;s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_j(m)}{m^s} = \prod_p (\sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{p^{\nu s}}) = \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(ks)}$. The assumptions of corollary 2 are then satisfied with:
$\mathbf{c} = (1, \dots, 1) = \mathbf{1}$, $I = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$, and $u_j = 1$, $A_j = \frac{1}{\zeta(k)}$, for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.
Moreover it is easy to see in our case that the mixed volume constant $A_0(\mathcal{T}_0;P)$ is equal to the Sargos constant $A_0(P)$. Thus, corollary 3 follows from corollary 2 and the expression for $A_0(P)$ given by proposition 1. $\diamondsuit$

### 4.4.1 Proof of corollary 4

We will derive corollary 4 from corollary 1. Let $f := \mathbf{1}_{D_k}$ be the characteristic function of $D_k$.
Set $I := \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_f := \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \langle \boldsymbol{\beta}, \Re(\mathbf{s}) \rangle > 1\ \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in I\} = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sigma_i > 1\ \forall i\}$.
It is clear that $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{f(m_1, \dots, m_n)}{m^{s_1} \dots m_n^{s_n}}$ converges in $\mathcal{D}_f$. The multiplicativity of $f$

implies also that for all $\mathbf{s}\in\mathcal{D}_f$: $\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{s}) = \prod_p \left(\sum_{\{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n;\ |\boldsymbol{\nu}|\le k-1\}} \frac{1}{p^{\langle\boldsymbol{\nu},\mathbf{s}\rangle}}\right)$.
Let $\mathbf{c}\in\partial\mathcal{D}_f=\{\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{C}^n \mid \sigma_i\ge 1\forall i$ with at least one equality$\}$.
Set $I_{\mathbf{c}} := \{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I \mid \langle\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{c}\rangle = 1\} = \{\mathbf{e}_i \mid c_i = 1\}$ and $H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{s}) := \left(\prod_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in I_{\mathbf{c}}}\langle\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{s}\rangle\right)\mathcal{M}(f;\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{s})$.
It is easy to see that for all $\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\sigma_i>0$ for all $i$, we have

$$H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;\mathbf{s}) = \left(\prod_{\mathbf{e}_i\in I_{\mathbf{c}}} s_i\zeta(1+s_i)\right)\prod_p\prod_{\mathbf{e}_i\in I_{\mathbf{c}}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+s_i}}\right)\left(\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\nu}|\le k-1}\frac{1}{p^{\langle\boldsymbol{\nu},\mathbf{c}\rangle+\langle\boldsymbol{\nu},\mathbf{s}\rangle}}\right) \tag{19}$$

It follows from the standard properties of the Riemann zeta function and the definition of $I_{\mathbf{c}}$ that there exists $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that $\mathbf{s}\mapsto H_{\mathbf{c}}(f;s)$ has a holomorphic continuation with moderate growth to $\{\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{C}^n \mid \sigma_i > -\varepsilon_0\forall i\}$ given by the right side of the equality (19) above. Therefore $f$ is a function of finite type.

Moreover $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_f)(\mathbf{1}) = \{\mathbf{1}\}$ and $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{1}\in con^*\left(supp(P)\right)=\mathbb{R}_+^{*n}$. In addition, it is easy to see that assumption (1) and (2) of theorem 2 are satisfied with $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{1}$.
By analytic continuation, it follows also from (19) that

$$H_{\mathbf{1}}(f;\mathbf{0}) = \prod_p\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^n\left(\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\nu}|\le k-1}\frac{1}{p^{|\boldsymbol{\nu}|}}\right) = \prod_p\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^n\left(1+\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}\frac{\binom{n+l-1}{l}}{p^l}\right) > 0.$$

We conclude that all three assumptions of theorem 2 are satisfied. We can then apply corollary 1 with:
$\mathbf{c}=(1,\ldots,1)=\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{c}}=(I_{\mathbf{c}},\mathbf{u})$, where $I_{\mathbf{c}}=\{\mathbf{e}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{e}_n\}$ and $u(\mathbf{e}_j)=1$ for all $j$.
Moreover it is easy to see in our case that $\iota(f)=|\mathbf{1}|=n$, that $\rho(f;P)=\rho_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{1}};P)=\rho_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{1}})=1$ and that the mixed volume constant $A_0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{1}};P)$ is equal to the Sargos constant $A_0(P)$. Thus, corollary 4 follows from corollary 1 and the expression for $A_0(P)$ given by proposition 1. ♢

### 4.4.2 Proof of corollary 5

Define for each $k=1,\ldots,n$ and $i=1,2$, $f_k^{(i)}:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{R}_+$ by $f_k^{(2)}=\Lambda$ and
$f_k^1(m)=\log(m)$ if $m$ is prime and $f_k^{(1)}(m)=0$ if not.
It is well known that under $(RH)$ each of the two Dirichlet series $s\mapsto\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{\Lambda(m)}{m^s}$ and $s\mapsto\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}}\frac{\log p}{p^s}$ has meromorphic continuation with moderate growth to the half-plane $\{\Re(s)>\frac{1}{2}\}$ with a single pole at $s=1$ of order 1 and residue equal to 1.
Moreover, using the notations from corollary 2, we have $\mathcal{T}_0=(I;\mathbf{u})$, where $I=\{\mathbf{e}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{e}_n\}$ and $u(\mathbf{e}_j)=1\ \forall j$. It follows that $A_0(\mathcal{T}_0;H)=A_0(H)$ is independent of $i$. Since $H$ is elliptic, the Sargos constant $A_0(H)$ is given by proposition 1. Thus corollary 5 also follows from corollary 2. ♢

# References

[1] **G. Bhowmik and D. Essouabri and B. Lichtin**. *Meromorphic Continuation of Multivariable Euler Products and Applications.* To appear in Forum Mathematicum.

[2] **Pi. Cassou-Noguès**, *Prolongement méromorphe des séries de Dirichlet associées à un polynôme à deux indéterminées.* J. Number Theory, vol. 23, 1-54 (1986).

[3] **R. de la Bretèche**. *Estimation de sommes multiples de fonctions arithmétiques.* Compositio Math., vol. 128, No. 3, 261-298 (2001).

[4] **D. Essouabri**. *Singularités des séries de Dirichlet associées à des polynômes de plusieurs variables et application à la théorie analytique des nombres.* Annales de l'institut Fourier, vol. 47(2),429-484 (1997).

[5] **D. Essouabri**. *Prolongement méromorphe des séries de Dirichlet à support dans un sous-ensemble semi-algébrique de* $\mathbb{R}^n$. Compositio Mathematica, vol. 114, No.3, 219-261 (1998).

[6] **D. Essouabri**. *Prolongement analytique d'une classe de fonctions zêtas des hauteurs et applications.* Bulletin de la SMF, vol. 133, 297-329 (2005).

[7] **D. Essouabri**. *Effect of metric changes on Manin's conjecture for toric varieties.* Preprint, LMNO CNRS UMR 6139, University of Caen (2006).

[8] **A. Fujii**. *An additive problem in prime numbers.* Acta. Arith. (1991).

[9] **A. Fujii**. *An additive problem in prime numbers II,III.* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 67, No.7 and No.8 (1991).

[10] **N. Kurokawa**. *On the meromorphy of Euler products I and II.* Proc. London Math. Soc., vol. 53, 1-47 and 209-236 (1986).

[11] **B. Lichtin**. *Generalized Dirichlet series and b-functions.* Compositio Mathematica, vol. 65, No. 1, 81-120 (1988).

[12] **B. Lichtin**. *The asymptotics of a lattice point problem associated to a finite number of polynomials I.* Duke Math. J., vol. 63, No. 1, 139-192 (1991).

[13] **K. Mahler**. *Uber einer Satz von Mellin.* Math. Annalen, vol. 100, 384-395, (1928).

[14] **K. Mahler**. *Zur Fortsetzbarkeit gwisser Dirichlet Reihen.* Math. Annalen, vol. 102, 30-48 (1930).

[15] **K. Matsumoto**. *Analytic properties of multiples zeta-functions in several variables.* Number Theory. Dev. Math., vol. 14, 153-173 (2006).

[16] **K. Matsumoto and Y. Tanigawa**. *The analytic continuation and the order estimate of multiple Dirichlet series*, J. Theor. Nombres Bordeaux, vol. 15, No.1 (2003).

[17] **Hj. Mellin**. *Eine Formel fur den Logarithmus transzendenter Funktionen von endlichem Geschlecht.* Acta Math., vol. 25, 165-184 (1901).

[18] **B.Z. Moroz**. *Scalar product of L-functions with Grossencharacters: its meromorphic continuation and natural boundary.* J. reine. angew. Math., vol. 332 (1982).

[19] **P. Sargos**. Séries de Dirichlet associées à des polynômes de plusieurs variables. Thèse d'Etat, Univ. Bordeaux 1 (1987).

[20] **P. Sargos**. *Prolongement méromorphe des séries de Dirichlet associées à des fractions rationnelles de plusieurs variables.* Annales de l'inst. Fourier (1984).

[21] **G. Tenenbaum**. *Introduction à la théorie analytique et probabiliste des nombres.* Cours Spécialisés. 1. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (1995).